

e+D Full Simulations with BeAGLE Events

Alex Jentsch

4/7/2020






Preliminaries

- 18 (GeV) x 135 (GeV/n) e+D events with BeAGLE.
- Results for neutron spectator and proton spectator shown separately.
- ZDC: $\sigma_E \sim \frac{50\%}{\sqrt{E}}$, $\sigma_\theta \sim \frac{.3 \text{ mrad}}{\sqrt{E}}$ (no constant terms – from Yuji’s talk)
- External Silicon Sensors: $500\mu\text{m} \times 500\mu\text{m}$ pixels
- B0: $50\mu\text{m} \times 50\mu\text{m}$ pixels
- Angular divergence numbers from “high acceptance – 18x275 GeV – full scope” portion of “eRHIC parameters v6.0” table.
- Beam energy spread $\sim 10^{-4}$
- Vertex smearing (to simulate the crab cavity effect)






Proton Spectator

Proton Spectator




Protons







Neutrons



Proton Spectator




The widths calculated here include all of the smearing contributions mentioned earlier. The percent resolution vs. pt will be shown later, as well as a table summarizing the individual contributions.




B0 Detector doesn't capture much here. The inclusion of the solenoid would slightly improve the acceptance, but also slightly increase the smearing in the downstream silicon.





Proton Spectator







- Acceptance for double-tagging could be *slightly* improved when the Roman Pots are included, and when the detector solenoid are included.
- Effects of smearing can be seen by inspection in many plots, but quantifying the effect on physics depends on what you are extracting.



Proton tagged



Proton Spectator









- The protons that are significantly off energy start to have issue with the tracking since they are more strongly affected by the edge of quadrupole fields.
- Neutrons fall nicely on a straight line, with the width driven by the detector smearing.






Neutron Spectator

Neutron Spectator








Protons




Neutrons




Neutron Spectator







Neutron Spectator







- Acceptance for double-tagging could be *slightly* improved when the Roman Pots are included, and when the detector solenoid are included.
- Effects of smearing can be seen by inspection in many plots, but quantifying the effect on physics depends on what you are extracting.



Neutron tagged




Neutron Spectator





- The protons that are significantly off energy start to have issue with the tracking since they are more strongly affected by the edge of quadrupole fields.
- Neutrons fall nicely on a straight line, with the width driven by the detector smearing.

Total Resolutions



protons (both cases combined)



neutrons (both cases combined)




Table of smearing contributions

[MeV/c]	Angular Divergence	Crab Cavity (vtx. smearing)	Beam Energy Spread	Pixel Size	E Res./Ang.Res.	Reco. Smearing (transport matrix)	Notes
Proton (external sensors)	~30	~13	< nominal smearing*	~10	N/A	~7	Severely off energy particles have worse smearing overall.
Neutron	~30	~13	< nominal smearing*	N/A	Need to check	N/A	

Total for protons in ESS ~30 MeV/c with proton spectators, ~48 MeV/c for struck protons.
Total for neutrons in ESS ~30 MeV/c in both cases.

*Nominal smearing: smearing without any effects included. ~0 for neutrons, ~3 MeV/c for protons in ESS.


Summary

- A comprehensive study of the acceptance and resolution of protons and neutrons from $e+D$ nuclear breakup events in BeAGLE is now very mature.
 - Beam effects included, magnets/yokes included, etc.
- Need one more week to check a few more things.
 - Need to disentangle individual effects more carefully.
- Outlook
 - Energy dependence (i.e. lower energy configuration) could be studied.
 - $e+He3$ also needs a similar treatment.
 - What else?


Backup

Proton Spectator


proton_delta_pt_vs_pt




proton_delta_p_vs_p




proton_delta_pt_vs_p




proton_delta_pt_vs_theta




neutron_delta_pt_vs_pt




neutron_delta_p_vs_p



neutron_delta_pt_vs_p




neutron_delta_pt_vs_theta




Neutron Spectator


proton_delta_pt_vs_pt




proton_delta_p_vs_p




proton_delta_pt_vs_p




proton_delta_pt_vs_theta




neutron_delta_pt_vs_pt



neutron_delta_p_vs_p



neutron_delta_pt_vs_p



neutron_delta_pt_vs_theta

